Component				Adapted fr		essment Scale Table Works Association Free Wa	ater Audit Software©				
SYSTEM DATA	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 6 Length of main lines, miles	Current condition: Poorly assembled and maintained paper as-built records of existing water main installations makes accurate determination of system pipe length impossible. Length of mains is estimated.	Current condition: Paper records in poor or uncertain condition (no annual tracking of installations & abandonments). Poor procedures to ensure that new water mains installed by developers are accurately documented.	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Sound written policy and procedures exist for documenting new water main installations, but gaps in management result in a uncertain degree of error in tabulation of mains length.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Sound written policy and procedures exist for permitting and commissioning new water mains. Highly accurate paper records with regular field validation; or electronic records and asset management system in good condition. Includes system backup.		Current condition: Sound written policy and procedures exist for permitting and commissioning new water mains. Electronic recordkeeping such as a Geographical Information System (GIS) and asset management system are used to store and manage data.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Sound written policy exists for managing water mains extensions and replacements. Geographic Information System (GIS) data and asset management database agree and random field validation proves truth of databases. Records of annual field validation should be available for review.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying the length of mains	To improve to 1: Assign personnel to inventory current asbuilt records and compare with customer billing system records and highway plans in order to verify poorly documented pipelines. Assemble policy documents regarding permitting and documentation of water main installations by the utility and building developers; identify gaps in procedures that result in poor documentation of new water main installations.	To improve Complete inventory of pa main installations for sever year. Review policy ar commissioning and docu main instal	per records of water ral years prior to audit nd procedures for Imenting new water	To improve Finalize updates/improve policy and proc permitting/commissi installations. Confirm inv five years prior to audit ye or omissi	vements to written redures for ioning new main rentory of records for ear; correct any errors	To improv. Launch random field chec of locations. Convert to such as a Geographic Info with backup as justified. I and proce	cks of limited number electronic database ormation System (GIS) Develop written policy	To improve Link Geographic Informat asset management data verification of data. Reco information at le	ion System (GIS) and bases, conduct field ord field verification	To maintain a 5: Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve the completeness and accuracy of the system.	Not a choice
Line 7b Number of service connections	Current condition: Vague permitting (of new service connections) policy and poor paper recordkeeping of customer connections/billings result in suspect determination of the number of service connections, which may be 10-15% in error from actual count.	Current condition: General permitting policy exists but paper records, procedural gaps, and weak oversight result in questionable total for number of connections, which may vary 5-10% of actual count.	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Written account activation policy and procedures exist, but with some gaps in performance and oversight. Computerized information management system is being brought online to replace dated paper recordkeeping system. Reasonably accurate tracking of service connection installations & abandonments; but count can be up to 5% in error from actual total.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Written new account activation and overall billing policies and procedures are adequate and reviewed periodically. Computerized information management system is in use with annual installations & abandonments totaled. Very limited field verifications and audits. Error in count of number of service connections is believed to be no more than 3%.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Policies and procedures for new account activation and overall billing operations are written, well-structured and reviewed at least biannually. Well- managed computerized information management system exists and routine, periodic field checks and internal system audits are conducted. Counts of connections are no more than 2% in error.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Sound written policy and well managed and audited procedures ensure reliable management of service connection population. Computerized information management system, Customer Billing System, and Geographic Information System (GIS) information agree; field validation proves truth of databases. Count of connections recorded as being in error is less than 1% of the entire population.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying the number of retail connections, active and inactive	To improve to 1: Draft new policy and procedures for new account activation and overall billing operations. Research and collect paper records of installations & abandonments for several years prior to audit year.	To improve Refine policy and procedu activation and overall I Research computerized re (Customer Information S Billing System) to impro	ures for new account billing operations. ecordkeeping system System or Customer ove documentation	To improve Refine procedures to ens new account activation an to establish new servic decommission existing co process to include all to years prior to a	ure consistency with d overall billing policy ce connections or onnections. Improve tals for at least five	To improve Formalize regular revie activation and overall billi and procedures. Launch r limited number of locatic and auditing mechanism information manag	ew of new account ing operations policies random field checks of ons. Develop reports ns for computerized	To improve Close any procedural lo installations to go und computerized information with Geographic Informat formalize field inspectic system auditing processe new or decommissioned encounters several lev balance	opholes that allow ocumented. Link management system (GIS) and on and information s. Documentation of service connections yels of checks and	To maintain a 5: Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve knowledge of system.	Not a choice

Component						essment Scale Table					
SYSTEM DATA	0.5	1	1.5	Adapted fr	om American Water \ 2.5	Norks Association Free Wa	ter Audit Software© 3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 10 Average yearly system operating pressure	Current condition: Available records are poorly assembled and maintained paper records of supply pump characteristics and water distribution system operating conditions. Average pressure is estimated based upon this information and ground elevations from crude topographical maps. Widely varying distribution system pressures due to undulating terrain, high system head loss and weak/erratic pressure controls further compromise the validity of the average pressure calculation.	Current condition: Limited telemetry monitoring of scattered pumping station and water storage tank sites provides some static pressure data, which is recorded in handwritten logbooks. Pressure data is gathered at individual sites only when low pressure complaints arise. Average pressure is determined by averaging relatively crude data, and is affected by significant variation in ground elevations, system head loss and gaps in pressure controls in the distribution system.	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Effective pressure controls separate different pressure zones; moderate pressure variation across the system, occasional open boundary valves are discovered that breech pressure zones. Basic telemetry monitoring of the distribution system logs pressure data electronically. Pressure data gathered by gauges or dataloggers at fire hydrants or buildings when low pressure complaints arise, and during fire flow tests and system flushing. Reliable topographical data exists. Average pressure is calculated using this mix of data.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Reliable pressure controls separate distinct pressure zones; only very occasional open boundary valves are encountered that breech pressure zones. Well-covered telemetry monitoring of the distribution system (not just pumping at source treatment plants or wells) logs extensive pressure data electronically. Pressure gathered by gauges/dataloggers at fire hydrants and buildings when low pressure complaints arise, and during fire flow tests and system flushing. Average pressure is determined by using this mix of reliable data.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Well-managed, discrete pressure zones exist with generally predictable pressure fluctuations. A current full-scale SCADA System or similar realtime monitoring system exists to monitor the water distribution system and collect data, including real time pressure readings at representative sites across the system. The average system pressure is determined from reliable monitoring system data.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Well-managed pressure districts/zones, SCADA System and hydraulic model exist to give very precise pressure data across the water distribution system. Average system pressure is reliably calculated from extensive, reliable, and cross- checked data. Calculations are reported on an annual basis as a minimum.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying the average operating pressure	To improve to 1: Employ pressure gauging and/or datalogging equipment to obtain pressure measurements from fire hydrants. Locate accurate topographical maps of service area in order to confirm ground elevations. Research pump data sheets to find pump pressure/flow characteristics.	To improve Formalize a procedur gauging/datalogging ec pressure data during vario as low pressure compla testing. Gather pump pres different flow regimes. Id controls (pressure reduce valves, partially open bour to properly configure prespressure data from these generate system-wide	e to use pressure puipment to gather us system events such ints, or operational isure and flow data at entify faulty pressure cing valves, altitude adary valves) and plan issure zones. Make all e efforts available to	To improve Expand the use of pressure equipment to gather scatt a representative set of pressure zones or areas. Use and flow data to deter entering each pressure zon any faulty pressure contro valves, altitude valves, boundary valves) to ensure pressure zones. Use expare from these activities to ge average pressure and the set of the set	e gauging/datalogging ered pressure data at sites, based upon Utilize pump pressure mine supply head ne or district. Correct ols (pressure reducing and partially open e properly configured nded pressure dataset enerate system-wide	Acquisition (SCADA) Systen monitoring system, to parameters and control of calibration schedule for insure data accuracy. topographical data and gathered from field stextensive, reliable data for	Control and Data m, or similar real-time o monitor system perations. Set regular instrumentation to Obtain accurate utilize pressure data urveys to provide	Annually, obtain a system Annually, obtain a system pressure value from the high distribution system that high field measurements in the system and confirmed in SCADA System	tem-wide average hydraulic model of the has been calibrated via he water distribution n comparisons with	·	Not a choice

Component						essment Scale Table					
WATER						Works Association Free Wa					
SUPPLIED	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 13 Produced water (volume of treated water entering distribution system from own sources)	Current condition: Less than 25% of water production sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing or electronic calibration conducted.	Current condition: 25% - 50% of treated water production sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing or electronic calibration conducted.	onditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: 50% - 75% of treated water production sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing or electronic calibration conducted.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: At least 75% of treated water production sources are metered, or at least 90% of the source flow is derived from metered sources. Meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: 100% of treated water production sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: 100% of treated water production sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted semi-annually, with less than 10% found outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Procedures are reviewed by a third party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology.	Select n/a only if the water utility purchases / imports all of its water resources (i.e. has no sources of its own)
Improvements in quantifying produced water volume	To improve to 1: Organize and launch efforts to collect data for determining volume from own sources.	To improve to Locate all water production: and in the field, launch meter for existing meters, begin to unmetered water producti replace any obsolete/defe	sources on maps or accuracy testing install meters on ion sources and	To improve Formalize annual meter ac source meters; specify the Complete installation of n water production sour replacement of all obsole	ccuracy testing for all frequency of testing. neters on unmetered ces and complete	To improve Conduct annual meter a calibration of related ins meter installations on a re project to install new, c existing, meters so that en population is metered. meters outside of +	accuracy testing and strumentation on all gular basis. Complete or replace defective atire production meter. Repair or replace	To improve Maintain annual meter a calibration of related ins meter installations. Repa outside of +/- 3% accura meter technology; pi replacements with innovat to further improve n	ccuracy testing and trumentation for all iir or replace meters cy. Investigate new lot one or more ive meters in attempt	To maintain a 5: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology.	
Line 13a Production meter accuracy	Current condition: Inventory information on meters and paper records of measured volumes exist but are incomplete and/or in a very crude condition; data error cannot be determined.	Current condition: No automatic datalogging of production volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records without any accountability controls. Flows are not balanced across the water distribution system: tank/storage elevation changes are not employed in calculating the "Volume from own sources" component and archived flow data is adjusted only when grossly evident data error occurs.	onditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Production meter data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthly basis with necessary corrections implemented. "Volume from own sources" tabulations include estimate of daily changes in tanks/storage facilities. Meter data is adjusted when gross data errors occur, or occasional meter testing deems this necessary.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Hourly production meter data logged automatically & reviewed on at least a weekly basis. Data is adjusted to correct gross error when meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction is detected; and/or error is confirmed by meter accuracy testing. Tank/storage facility elevation changes are automatically used in calculating a balanced "Volume from own sources" component, and data gaps in the archived data are corrected on at least a weekly basis.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Continuous production meter data is logged automatically & reviewed each business day. Data is adjusted to correct gross error from detected meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction and/or results of meter accuracy testing. Tank/storage facility elevation changes are automatically used in "Volume from own sources" tabulations and data gaps in the archived data are corrected on a daily basis.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically balances flows from all sources and storages; results are reviewed each business day. Tight accountability controls ensure that all data gaps that occur in the archived flow data are quickly detected and corrected. A regular calibration between SCADA and source meters ensures minimal data transfer error.	Select n/a only if the water utility fails to have meters on its sources of supply AND did not provide a volume for Line 13.

Component		Assessment Scale Table Adapted from American Water Works Association Free Water Audit Software© 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 N/A											
WATER SUPPLIED	0.5	1	1.5	2				4	4.5	5	N/A		
Improvements to production meter accuracy	To improve to 1: Develop a plan to restructure recordkeeping system to capture all flow data; set a procedure to review flow data on a daily basis to detect input errors. Obtain more reliable information about existing meters by conducting field inspections of meters and related instrumentation, and obtaining manufacturer literature.	To improve Install automatic datalog production meters. Con level instrumentation a facilities and include automatic calculation rous system. Construct a cor spreadsheet to archive tank/storage volun import/export flows in or composite "Water Supp distribution system. Set a this data on a monthly be anomalies and	gging equipment on nplete installation of at all tanks/storage tank level data in tine in a computerized mputerized listing or ve input volumes, ne changes and rder to determine the alied" volume for the a procedure to review basis to detect gross	To improve Refine computerized data to include hourly producti reviewed at least on a w specific data anomalies storage change to balanc "Water Supplied" vol corrections to data errors weekly b	collection and archive ion meter data that is eekly basis to detect a gaps. Use daily net e flows in calculating ume. Necessary are implemented on a	archived on at least an ho reviewed and detected e business day. Tank/storag employed in calculatin Supplied" component. Ad	ata is collected and ourly basis. All data is errors corrected each ge levels variations are g balanced "Water ljust production meter naccuracy confirmed	To improve Link all production and to elevation change data to a & Data Acquisition (SCAD) computerized monitoring establish automatic flow and regularly calibrate to source meters. Data is re- each busine	tank/storage facility a Supervisory Control DA) System, or similar g/control system, and balancing algorithm between SCADA and viewed and corrected	To maintain a 5: Monitor meter innovations for development of more accurate and less expensive flowmeters. Continue to replace or repair meters as they perform outside of desired accuracy limits. Stay abreast of new and more accurate water level instruments to better record tank/storage levels and archive the variations in storage volume. Keep current with SCADA and data management systems to ensure that archived data is well-managed and error free.			
Line 14 Total treated water purchased Volume for Line 14 is populated from the Water Use Survey	Current condition: Less than 25% of imported water sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Current condition: 25% - 50% of imported water sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: 50% - 75% of imported water sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing conducted.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: At least 75% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually for all meter installations. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: 100% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: 100% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted semi-annually for all meter installations, with less than 10% of accuracy tests found outside of +/- 3% accuracy.	Select n/a if the water utility's supply is exclusively from its own water resources (no bulk purchased/ imported water)		
Improvements in quantifying volume of treated water purchased	To improve to 1: Review bulk water purchase agreements with partner suppliers; confirm requirements for use and maintenance of accurate metering. Identify needs for new or replacement meters with goal to meter all imported water sources.	To improve Locate all imported water in the field, launch metei existing meters, begin t unmetered imported wa and replace obsolete/	r sources on maps and r accuracy testing for to install meters on ater interconnections	To improve Formalize annual meter a imported water meters regular meter accuracy te of the related instrume installation of meters on water interconnections obsolete/defect	ccuracy testing for all s, planning for both esting and calibration entation. Continue unmetered imported and replacement of	To improve Complete project to ins defective, meters on a interconnections. Mai accuracy testing for all im and conduct calibra instrumentation at least replace meters outside	stall new, or replace all imported water ntain annual meter nported water meters ation of related annually. Repair or	To improve Conduct meter accuracy on a semi-annual basis, ald all related instrumentatio meters outside of +/- 3% and meter technology replacements with innovation improve meters	testing for all meters ong with calibration of on. Repair or replace accuracy. Investigate ; pilot one or more tive meters in attempt	To maintain a 5: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Continue to conduct calibration of related instrumentation on a semi-annual basis. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology.			

Component				Adapted fr		essment Scale Table Works Association Free Wa	ter Audit Software©				
WATER SUPPLIED	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 14a Treated purchased water meter accuracy	Current condition: Inventory information on imported meters and paper records of measured volumes exist but are incomplete and/or in a very crude condition; data error cannot be determined. Written agreement(s) with water Exporter(s) are missing or written in vague language concerning meter management and testing.	Current condition: No automatic datalogging of imported supply volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records without any accountability controls to confirm data accuracy and the absence of errors and data gaps in recorded volumes. Written agreement requires meter accuracy testing but is vague on the details of how and who conducts the testing.	nditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Imported supply metered flow data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthly basis by the Exporter with necessary corrections implemented. Meter data is adjusted by the Exporter when gross data errors are detected. A coherent data trail exists for this process to protect both the selling and the purchasing Utility. Written agreement exists and clearly states requirements and roles for meter accuracy testing and data management.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Hourly Imported supply metered data is logged automatically & reviewed on at least a weekly basis by the Exporter. Data is adjusted to correct gross error when meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction is detected; and to correct for error confirmed by meter accuracy testing. Any data gaps in the archived data are detected and corrected during the weekly review. A coherent data trail exists for this process to protect both the selling and the purchasing Utility.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Continuous Imported supply metered flow data is logged automatically & reviewed each business day by the Exporter. Data is adjusted to correct gross error from detected meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction and/or results of meter accuracy testing. Any data errors/gaps are detected and corrected on a daily basis. A data trail exists for the process to protect both the selling and the purchasing Utility.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically records data which is reviewed each business day by the Exporter. Tight accountability controls ensure that all error/data gaps that occur in the archived flow data are quickly detected and corrected. A reliable data trail exists and contract provisions for meter testing and data management are reviewed by the selling and purchasing Utility at least once every five years.	water supply is unmetered, with Imported water quantities estimated on the billing
Improvements to treated purchased water meter accuracy	To improve to 1: Develop a plan to restructure recordkeeping system to capture all flow data; set a procedure to review flow data on a daily basis to detect input errors. Obtain more reliable information about existing meters by conducting field inspections of meters and related instrumentation, and obtaining manufacturer literature. Review the written agreement between the selling and purchasing Utility.	To improve to. Install automatic dataloggin, imported supply meters. Se review this data on a monthl gross anomalies and data discussions with the Exporters terms of the written agreen meter accuracy testing and dare revise the terms as no	g equipment on et a procedure to ly basis to detect gaps. Launch is to jointly review ments regarding ata management;	To improve Refine computerized data to include hourly imported data that is reviewed at le to detect specific data a Make necessary correct errors on a we	collection and archive I supply metered flow ast on a weekly basis nomalies and gaps. ions to errors/data	•	supply metered flow hived on at least an is reviewed and	To improve Conduct accountability ch all Imported supply mete and corrected each bu Exporter. Results of all n and data corrections sho sharing between the I purchasing Utility. Estab regular review and updati language in the written ago selling and the purchasing five yea	necks to confirm that red data is reviewed siness day by the neter accuracy tests ould be available for Exporter and the lish a schedule for a ng of the contractual reement between the Utility; at least every	To maintain a 5: Monitor meter innovations for development of more accurate and less expensive flowmeters; work with the Exporter to help identify meter replacement needs. Keep communication lines with Exporters open and maintain productive relations. Keep the written agreement current with clear and explicit language that meets the ongoing needs of all parties.	
Line 15 Total treated wholesale water sales Volume for Line 15 is populated from the Water Use Survey	Current condition: Less than 25% of exported water sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Current condition: Co 25% - 50% of exported water sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	nditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: 50% - 75% of exported water sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing conducted.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: At least 75% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration conducted annually. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: 100% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: 100% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted semiannually for all meter installations, with less than 10% of accuracy tests found outside of +/- 3% accuracy.	Select n/a if the water utility sells no bulk water to neighboring water utilities (no exported water sales)

Component		Assessment Scale Table Adapted from American Water Works Association Free Water Audit Software© 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 N/A											
WATER SUPPLIED	0.5	1 1.5					4	4.5	5	N/A			
Improvements in quantifying volume of treated wholesale water sales Line 15a Treated wholesale water meter accuracy	the water are missing or written in vague	To improve to 2: Locate all exported water sources on maps and in field, launch meter accuracy testing for existing meters, begin to install meters on unmetered exported water interconnections and replace obsolete/defective meters. Current condition: Current condition: No automatic datalogging of exported supply volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records without any accountability controls to confirm data accuracy and the absence of errors and data gaps in recorded volumes. Written agreement requires meter accuracy testing but is vague on the details of how and who conducts the testing.	To improve Formalize annual meter ac exported water meters. Co meters on unmetered interconnections and obsolete/defecti Current condition: Exported metered flow data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthly basis, with necessary corrections implemented. Meter data is adjusted by the utility selling (exporting) the water when gross data errors are detected. A coherent data trail exists for this process to protect both the utility exporting the water and the purchasing Utility. Written agreement exists and clearly states requirements and roles for meter accuracy testing and data management.	curacy testing for all entinue installation of exported water replacement of	To improve Complete project to ins defective, meters on a interconnections. Mair accuracy testing for all ex Repair or replace meter accuracy Current condition: Hourly exported supply metered data is logged automatically & reviewed on at least a weekly basis by the utility selling the water. Data is adjusted to correct gross error when meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction is detected; and to correct for error found by meter accuracy testing. Any data gaps in the archived data are detected and corrected during the weekly review. A coherent data trail exists for this process to protect both the selling (exporting) utility and the purchasing Utility.	tall new, or replace ill exported water ntain annual meter ported water meters. rs outside of +/- 6%	To improve Maintain annual meter are meters. Repair or replace 3% accuracy. Invest technology; pilot one or with innovative meters in meter acc Current condition: Continuous exported supply metered flow data is logged automatically & reviewed each business day by the utility selling (exporting) the water. Data is adjusted to correct gross error from detected meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction and any error confirmed by meter accuracy testing. Any data errors/gaps are detected and corrected on a daily basis. A data trail exists for the process to protect both the selling (exporting) Utility and the purchasing Utility.	ccuracy testing for all meters outside of +/-igate new meter more replacements a attempt to improve uracy. Conditions between 4 and 5	To maintain a 5: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology. Current condition: Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically records data which is reviewed each business day by the utility selling (exporting) the water. Tight accountability controls ensure that all error/data gaps that occur in the archived flow data are quickly detected and corrected. A reliable data trail exists and contract provisions for meter testing and data management are reviewed by the selling Utility and purchasing Utility at least once every five years.	meters on its exported supply interconnecti ons AND did			
Improvements to treated wholesale water meter accuracy	To improve to 1: Develop a plan to restructure recordkeeping system to capture all flow data; set a procedure to review flow data on a daily basis to detect input errors. Obtain more reliable information about existing meters by conducting field inspections of meters and related instrumentation, and obtaining manufacturer literature. Review the written agreement between the utility selling (exporting) the water and the purchasing Utility.	To improve to 2: Install automatic datalogging equipment on exported supply meters. Set a procedure to review this data on a monthly basis to detect gross anomalies and data gaps. Launch discussions with the purchasing utilities to jointly review terms of the written agreements regarding meter accuracy testing and data management; revise the terms as necessary.	To improve Refine computerized data of to include hourly exported data that is reviewed at lea to detect specific data an Make necessary correcti errors on a wee	collection and archive supply metered flow ast on a weekly basis nomalies and gaps. ons to errors/data	To improve Ensure that all exported i collected and archived o basis. All data is reviewed are corrected each	metered flow data is on at least an hourly and errors/data gaps	To improve Conduct accountability of all exported metered flow corrected each business de the water. Results of all and data corrections sho sharing between the utility Utility. Establish a schedu and updating of the contre written agreements w utilities; at least ex	hecks to confirm that and the state is reviewed and and by the utility selling meter accuracy tests build be available for ty and the purchasing le for a regular review actual language in the ith the purchasing	expensive flowmeters; work with the purchasing utilities to help identify meter replacement needs. Keep communication lines with the purchasing utilities open and maintain				

Component				Adapted fr	essment Scale Table Works Association Free Wa	ter Audit Software©					
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 17 Billed metered Volume for Line 17 is populated from the Water Use Survey		with volume-based billing from meter reads; flat rate billing for others. Manual meter reading is conducted, with less than 50% meter read success rate, remaining accounts' consumption is estimated. Limited meter records, no regular meter testing or replacement. Billing data maintained on paper records, with no auditing.	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: At least 75% of customers with volume-based, billing from meter reads; flat or fixed rate billing for remaining accounts. Manual meter reading is conducted with at least 50% meter read success rate; consumption for accounts with failed reads is estimated. Purchase records verify age of customer meters; only very limited meter accuracy testing is conducted. Customer meters are replaced only upon complete failure. Computerized billing records exist, but only sporadic internal auditing conducted.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: At least 90% of customers with volume-based billing from meter reads; consumption for remaining accounts is estimated. Manual customer meter reading gives at least 80% customer meter reading success rate; consumption for accounts with failed reads is estimated. Good customer meter records exist, but only limited meter accuracy testing is conducted. Regular replacement is conducted for the oldest meters. Computerized billing records exist with annual auditing of summary statistics conducting by utility personnel.		Current condition: At least 97% of customers exist with volume-based billing from meter reads. At least 90% customer meter reading success rate; or at least 80% read success rate with planning and budgeting for trials of Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) or Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) in one or more pilot areas. Good customer meter records. Regular meter accuracy testing guides replacement of statistically significant number of meters each year. Routine auditing of computerized billing records for global and detailed statistics occurs annually by utility personnel, and is verified by third party at least once every five years.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: At least 99% of customers exist with volume-based billing from meter reads. At least 95% customer meter reading success rate; or minimum 80% meter reading success rate, with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) or Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) trials underway. Statistically significant customer meter testing and replacement program in place on a continuous basis. Computerized billing with routine, detailed auditing, including field investigation of representative sample of accounts undertaken annually by utility personnel. Audit is conducted by third party auditors at least once every three years.	
Improvements in quantifying volume of billed metered consumption	To improve to 1: Conduct investigations or trials of customer meters to select appropriate meter models. Budget funding for meter installations. Investigate volume based water rate structures.	To improve Purchase and install me accounts. Implement poli reading success. Catalog during meter read visits t of existing meters. Test a meters for accuracy. In billing sys	eters on unmetered cies to improve meter g meter information to identify age/model a minimal number of istall computerized	Purchase and install me accounts. Eliminate flat fe appropriate water rate st measured consumption. verifiable success in remereading barriers. Expand m Launch regular meter rep Launch a program of annu billing statistics by un	ters on unmetered e billing and establish tructure based upon Continue to achieve oving manual meter accuracy testing blacement program. ual auditing of global	rate is less than 97%, asse of Automatic Meter Readi Metering Infrastructur	eters on unmetered eter reading success ess cost-effectiveness ng (AMR) or Advanced e (AMI) system for or otherwise achieve manual meter reading igher. Refine meter gram. Set meter d upon accuracy test al auditing of detailed ty personnel and uditing at least once	Purchase and install me accounts. Launch Auton (AMR) or Advanced Met (AMI) system trials if me success rate of at least within a five-year progran accuracy testing program and budgeting for la replacement based up analysis using cumulative annual detailed billing dapersonnel and conduct the least once every	eters on unmetered natic Meter Reading tering Infrastructure anual meter reading 199% is not achieved am. Continue meter no. Conduct planning tree scale meter on meter life cycle flow target. Continue ta auditing by utility nird party auditing at	To maintain a 5: Continue annual internal billing data auditing, and third party auditing at least every three years. Continue customer meter accuracy testing to ensure that accurate customer meter readings are obtained and entered as the basis for volume based billing. Stay abreast of improvements in Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) and Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and information management. Plan and budget for justified upgrades in metering, meter reading and billing data management to maintain very high accuracy in customer metering and billing.	Not a choice

Component				A double of fire		essment Scale Table	ston Audit Coffeesing				
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	Works Association Free Wa	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 18 Billed unmetered	Current condition: Water utility policy does not require customer metering; flat or fixed fee billing is employed. No data is collected on customer consumption. The only estimates of customer population consumption available are derived from data estimation methods using average fixture count multiplied by number of connections, or similar approach.	billing is employed. Some metered accounts exist in	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Water utility policy does require metering and volume based billing in general. However, a liberal amount of exemptions and a lack of clearly written and communicated procedures result in up to 20% of billed accounts believed to be unmetered by exemption; or the water utility is in transition to becoming fully metered, and a large number of customers remain unmetered. A rough estimate of the annual consumption for all unmetered accounts is included in the annual water audit, with no inspection of individual unmetered accounts.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Water utility policy does require metering and volume based billing but established exemptions exist for a portion of accounts such as municipal buildings. As many as 15% of billed accounts are unmetered due to this exemption or meter installation difficulties. Only a group estimate of annual consumption for all unmetered accounts is included in the annual water audit, with no inspection of individual unmetered accounts.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Water utility policy does require metering and volume based billing for all customer accounts. However, less than 5% of billed accounts remain unmetered because meter installation is hindered by unusual circumstances. The goal is to minimize the number of unmetered accounts. Reliable estimates of consumption are obtained for these unmetered accounts via site specific estimation methods.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Water utility policy does require metering and volume based billing for all customer accounts. Less than 2% of billed accounts are unmetered and exist because meter installation is hindered by unusual circumstances. The goal exists to minimize the number of unmetered accounts to the extent that is economical. Reliable estimates of consumption are obtained at these accounts via site specific estimation methods. OR Select 5 if it is the policy of the water utility to meter all customer connections and it has been confirmed by detailed auditing that all customers do indeed have a water meter; i.e. no intentionally unmetered accounts exist.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying volume of billed unmetered consumption	To improve to 1: Conduct research and evaluate cost/benefit of a new water utility policy to require metering of the customer population; thereby greatly reducing or eliminating unmetered accounts. Conduct pilot metering project by installing water meters in small sample of customer accounts and periodically reading the meters or datalogging the water consumption over one, three, or seven day periods.	To improve Implement a new water u customer metering. Lau metering study to include meter types, which will economic assessment of options. Assess sites with devise means to obtain volumes. Begin custome	tility policy requiring inch or expand pilot de several different Il provide data for f full scale metering a access difficulties to water consumption	To improve Refine policy and proce customer metering part solidly exempt accounts. to review billing record unmetered properties. Sp and funding requirement meters to significant rec unmetered a	edures to improve cicipation for all but Assign staff resources is to identify errant pecify metering needs is to install sufficient duce the number of	properties, are designat	meters on a full scale licy and procedures to , including municipal ted for meters. Plan ess "hard-to-access" locedures to obtain a mate for the remaining nts awaiting meter	To improve Continue customer m throughout the service a minimize unmetered acc effort to investigate acc difficulties, and devise m meters or otherwise consump	neter installation area, with a goal to counts. Sustain the counts with access eans to install water measure water	To maintain a 5: Continue to refine estimation methods for unmetered consumption and explore means to establish metering, for as many billed remaining unmetered accounts as is economically feasible.	Not a choice

Component				Adapted fr		essment Scale Table Works Association Free Wa	nter Audit Software©				
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 19 Unbilled metered	Current condition: Billing practices exempt certain accounts, such as municipal buildings, but written policies do not exist; and a reliable count of unbilled metered accounts is unavailable. Meter upkeep and meter reading on these accounts is rare and not considered a priority. Due to poor recordkeeping and lack of auditing, water consumption for all such accounts is purely estimated.	Current condition: Billing practices exempt certain accounts, such as municipal buildings, but only scattered, dated written directives exist to justify this practice. A reliable count of unbilled metered accounts is unavailable. Sporadic meter replacement and meter reading occurs on an as-needed basis. The total annual water consumption for all unbilled, metered accounts is estimated based upon approximating the number of accounts and assigning consumption from actively billed accounts of same meter size.		Current condition: Dated written procedures permit billing exemption for specific accounts, such as municipal properties, but are unclear regarding certain other types of accounts. Meter reading is given low priority and is sporadic. Consumption is quantified from meter readings where available. The total number of unbilled, unmetered accounts must be estimated along with consumption volumes.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Written policies regarding billing exemptions exist but adherence in practice is questionable. Metering and meter reading for municipal buildings is reliable but sporadic for other unbilled metered accounts. Periodic auditing of such accounts is conducted. Water consumption is quantified directly from meter readings where available, but the majority of the consumption is estimated.		Current condition: Written policy identifies the types of accounts granted a billing exemption. Customer meter management and meter reading are considered secondary priorities, but meter reading is conducted at least annually to obtain consumption volumes for the annual water audit. High level auditing of billing records ensures that a reliable census of such accounts exists.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Clearly written policy identifies the types of accounts given a billing exemption, with emphasis on keeping such accounts to a minimum. Customer meter management and meter reading for these accounts is given proper priority and is reliably conducted. Regular auditing confirms this. Total water consumption for these accounts is taken from reliable readings from accurate meters. OR Select 5 if all billing-exempt consumption is unmetered.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying volume of unbilled metered consumption	To improve to 1: Reassess the water utility's policy allowing certain accounts to be granted a billing exemption. Draft an outline of a new written policy for billing exemptions, with clear justification as to why any accounts should be exempt from billing, and with the intention to keep the number of such accounts to a minimum.	billing-exempt. Draft an policy for billing exemption	directives and policy tain accounts to be outline of a written ns; identify a criterion with a goal of keeping ats to a minimum.	To improve Draft a new written poli exemptions based upon allowing this occurrence. audit meter records and obtain census of unbilled Gradually include a great metered accounts to the meter rea	icy regarding billing a consensus criteria Assign resources to d billing records to d metered accounts. ter number of these e routes for regular	To improve Communicate billing throughout the organiza procedures that ensur management. Conduct in confirmed in unbilled met that accurate meters exist routine meter readings. On umber of unbilled mete included in regular me	exemption policy ation and implement re proper account aspections of accounts tered status and verify and are scheduled for Gradually increase the red accounts that are	To improve Ensure that meter ma accuracy testing, meter re reading activities for un accorded the same priori Establish ongoing annua ensure that water cons collected and provided audit pro	nagement (meter placement) and meter billed accounts are ty as billed accounts. I auditing process to umption is reliably to the annual water	To maintain a 5: Reassess the utility's philosophy in allowing any water uses to go "unbilled". It is possible to meter and bill all accounts; even if the fee charged for water consumption is discounted or waived. Metering and billing all accounts ensures that water consumption is tracked and water waste from plumbing leaks is detected and minimized.	Not a choice

Component					Asse	essment Scale Table					
•				Adapted fr	om American Water	Works Association Free Wa	ter Audit Software©				
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 20 Unbilled unmetered	Current condition: Extent of unbilled, unmetered consumption is unknown due to unclear policies and poor recordkeeping. Total consumption is quantified based upon a purely subjective estimate.	Clear extent of unbilled,	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Extent of unbilled, unmetered consumption is partially known, and procedures exist to document certain events such as miscellaneous fire hydrant uses. Formulae are used to quantify the consumption from such events (time running multiplied by typical flowrate, multiplied by number of events).	Default value of 0.25% of volume of billed authorized water is employed.	Current condition: Coherent policies exist for some forms of unbilled, unmetered consumption but others await closer evaluation. Reasonable recordkeeping for the managed uses exists and allows for annual volumes to be quantified by inference, but unsupervised uses are estimated.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Clear policies and good recordkeeping exist for some uses (ex: water used in periodic testing of unmetered fire connections), but other uses (ex: miscellaneous uses of fire hydrants) have limited oversight. Total consumption is a mix of well quantified use such as from formulae (time running multiplied by typical flow, multiplied by number of events) or temporary meters, and relatively subjective estimates of less regulated use.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Clear policies exist to identify permitted use of water in unbilled, unmetered fashion, with the intention of minimizing this type of consumption. Good records document each occurrence and consumption is quantified via formulae (time running multiplied by typical flow, multiplied by number of events) or use of temporary meters.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying volume of unbilled unmetered consumption	To improve to 2.5: Utilize the accepted default value of 0.25% of billed authorized as an expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of this use. To improve to 1: Establish a policy regarding what water uses should be allowed to remain as unbilled and unmetered. Consider tracking a small sample of one such use (ex: fire hydrant flushings).	To improve to Utilize the accepted defauth billed authorized as an experience a reasonable quantification of the contractors to ascertain volume requirements for hydrants.	alt value of 0.25% of edient means to gain ation of this use. It to 2: Ition of events that set with user groups fire departments, their need and/or or water from fire	To improve to 2.5: Utilize accepted default value of 0.25% of billed authorized as an expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of all such use. This is particularly appropriate for water utilities that are in the early stages of the water auditing process, and should focus on other components since the volume of unbilled, unmetered consumption is usually a relatively small quantity component, and other larger-quantity components should take priority.	quantify such usage. Proceed if top-down audit exists and/or a	,	y and procedures for i. For example, ensure ermits are issued for ersons outside of the ocedures for use and drants by water utility proach for other types	To improve Refine written procedure uses of unbilled, unmetere by a structured permitting water utility personnel. determine if some of thes being converted to bille status	es to ensure that all d water are overseen process managed by Reassess policy to se uses have value in d and/or metered	Continue to refine policy and procedures with intention of reducing the number of	Not a choice

Component				Adapted fr		essment Scale Table Works Association Free Wa	iter Audit Software©				
APPARENT LOSSES	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 23 Average customer meter accuracy	Current condition: Customer meters exist, but with unorganized paper records on meters; no meter accuracy testing or meter replacement program for any size of retail meter. Metering workflow is driven chaotically with no proactive management. Loss volume due to aggregate meter inaccuracy is estimated.	Current condition: Poor recordkeeping and meter oversight is recognized by water utility management who has allotted staff and funding resources to organize improved recordkeeping and start meter accuracy testing. Existing paper records gathered and organized to provide cursory disposition of meter population. Customer meters are tested for accuracy only upon customer request.	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Reliable recordkeeping exists; meter information is improving as meters are replaced. Meter accuracy testing is conducted annually for a small number of meters (more than just customer requests, but less than 1% of inventory). A limited number of the oldest meters are replaced each year. Inaccuracy volume is largely an estimate, but refined based upon limited testing data.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: A reliable electronic recordkeeping system for meters exists. The meter population includes a mix of new high performing meters and dated meters with suspect accuracy. Routine, but limited, meter accuracy testing and meter replacement occur. Inaccuracy volume is quantified using a mix of reliable and less certain data.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Ongoing meter replacement and accuracy testing result in highly accurate customer meter population. Testing is conducted on samples of meters of varying age and accumulated volume of throughput to determine optimum replacement time for various types of meters.	accuracy testing result in highly accurate customer meter population. Statistically significant numbers	Current condition: Good records of all active customer meters exist and include as a minimum: meter number, account number/location, type, size and manufacturer. Ongoing meter replacement occurs according to a targeted and justified basis. Regular meter accuracy testing gives a reliable measure of composite inaccuracy volume for the customer meter population. New metering technology is embraced to keep overall accuracy improving. Procedures are reviewed by a third party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology.	
Improvements to average customer meter accuracy	To improve to 1: Gather available meter purchase records. Conduct testing on a small number of meters believed to be the most inaccurate. Review staffing needs of the metering group and budget for necessary resources to better organize meter management.	To improve Implement a reliable recordustomer meter historic electronic methods typics of, the Customer Billing Solution System. Explaining to a larger ground to the customer Billing Solution System.	rd keeping system for es, preferably using ally linked to, or part System or Customer oand meter accuracy	Standardize the process recordkeeping within an essystem. Accelerate meter meter replacements guid	edures for meter electronic information accuracy testing and		accuracy testing to ignificant number of s. Expand meter o replace statistically or performing meters	To improve to 4.5: Continue efforts to manage meter population with reliable recordkeeping. Test a statistically significant number of meters each year and analyze test results in an ongoing manner to serve as a basis for a target meter replacement strategy based upon accumulated volume throughput.	population with reliable recordkeeping, meter testing and replacement. Evaluate new meter types and install one or more types in 5-10 customer	To maintain a 5: Increase the number of meters tested and replaced as justified by meter accuracy test data. Continually monitor development of new metering technology and Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) to grasp opportunities for greater accuracy in metering of water flow and management of customer consumption data.	Not a choice

Component			Adapted fro		essment Scale Table Works Association Free Wa	ter Audit Software©				
APPARENT LOSSES	0.5	1 1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 25 Systematic data handling discrepancy	Current condition: Policies and procedures for activation of new customer water billing accounts are vague and lack accountability. Billing data is maintained on paper records which are not well organized. No auditing is conducted to confirm billing data handling efficiency. An unknown number of customers escape routine billing due to lack of billing process oversight.	Current condition: Policy and procedures for activation of new customer accounts and oversight of billing records exist but need refinement. Billing data is maintained on paper records or insufficiently capable electronic database. Only periodic unstructured auditing work is conducted to confirm billing data handling efficiency. The volume of unbilled water due to billing lapses is a guess.	Current condition: Policy and procedures for new account activation and oversight of billing operations exist but needs refinement. Computerized billing system exists, but is dated or lacks needed functionality. Periodic, limited internal audits conducted and confirm with approximate accuracy the consumption volumes lost to billing lapses.	Default value of 0.25% of volume of billed authorized water is employed.	Current condition: Policy and procedures for new account activation and oversight of billing operations is adequate and reviewed periodically. Computerized billing system is in use with basic reporting available. Any effect of billing adjustments on measured consumption volumes is well understood. Internal checks of billing data error conducted annually. Reasonably accurate quantification of consumption volume lost to billing lapses is obtained.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: New account activation and billing operations policy and procedures are reviewed at least biannually. Computerized billing system includes an array of reports to confirm billing data and system functionality. Checks are conducted routinely to flag and explain zero consumption accounts. Annual internal checks conducted with third party audit conducted at least once every five years. Accountability checks flag billing lapses. Consumption lost to billing lapses is well quantified and reducing year-by-year.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Sound written policy and procedures exist for new account activation and oversight of customer billing operations. Robust computerized billing system gives high functionality and reporting capabilities which are utilized, analyzed and the results reported each billing cycle. Assessment of policy and data handling errors are conducted internally and audited by third party at least once every three years, ensuring consumption lost to billing lapses is minimized and detected as it occurs.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying loss due to systematic data handling error	To improve to 1: Draft written policy and procedures for activating new water billing accounts and oversight of billing operations. Investigate and budget for computerized customer billing system. Conduct initial audit of billing records by flow-charting the basic business processes of the customer account/billing function.	To improve to 2.5: Use accepted default of 0.25% of billed authorized. To improve to 2: Finalize written policy and procedures for activation of new billing accounts and overall billing operations management. Implement a computerized customer billing system. Conductinitial audit of billing records as part of this process.	Refine new account act operations procedures and with the utility policy reg minimize opportunity for Upgrade or replace custon needed functionality - e adjustments don't cor consumption volumes. Im internal annual ac	ivation and billing densure consistency garding billing, and or missed billings. her billing system for ensure that billing rupt the value of plement procedural	To improve Formalize regular revie activation process and ger Enhance reporting capab billing system. Formali process to reveal scope of Plan for periodic third pa	ew of new account neral billing practices. illity of computerized ize regular auditing f data handling error. arty audit to occur at	Close policy/procedure lesome customer accounts thandling errors to exist. system reports are utilic reported every billing of internal and third party audeast once every	oopholes that allow to go unbilled, or data Ensure that billing ized, analyzed and cycle. Ensure that idits are conducted at	To maintain a 5: Stay abreast of customer information management developments and innovations. Monitor developments of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and integrate technology to ensure that customer endpoint information is wellmonitored and errors/lapses are at an economic minimum.	Not a choice
Line 26 Unauthorized consumption	Current condition: Extent of unauthorized consumption is unknown due to unclear policies and poor recordkeeping. Total unauthorized consumption is estimated.	Current condition: Unauthorized consumption is a known occurrence, but its extent is a mystery. There are no requirements to document observed events, but periodic field reports capture some of these occurrences. Total unauthorized consumption is approximated from this limited data.	Current condition: Procedures exist to document some unauthorized consumption such as observed unauthorized fire hydrant openings. Use formulae to quantify this consumption (time running multiplied typical flowrate, multiplied by number of events).	Default value of 0.25% of volume of billed authorized water is employed.	Current condition: Coherent policies exist for some forms of unauthorized consumption (more than simply fire hydrant misuse) but others await closer evaluation. Reasonable surveillance and recordkeeping exist for occurrences that fall under the policy. Volumes quantified by inference from these records.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Clear policies and good auditable recordkeeping exist for certain events (ex: tampering with water meters, illegal bypasses of customer meters); but other occurrences have limited oversight. Total consumption is a combination of volumes from formulae (time x typical flow) and subjective estimates of unconfirmed consumption.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Clear policies exist to identify all known unauthorized uses of water. Staff and procedures exist to provide enforcement of policies and detect violations. Each occurrence is recorded and quantified via formulae (estimated time running multiplied by typical flow) or similar methods. All records and calculations should exist in a form that can be audited by a third party.	Not a choice

Component	Assessment Scale Table Adapted from American Water Works Association Free Water Audit Software©										
APPARENT LOSSES	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Improvements in quantifying volume of unauthorized consumption	To improve to 2.5: Use accepted default of 0.25% of billed authorized. To improve to 1: Review utility policy regarding what water uses are considered unauthorized, and consider tracking a small sample of one such occurrence (ex: unauthorized fire hydrant openings).	To improve to 2.5: Use accepted default of 0.25% of billed authorized. To improve to 2: Review utility policy regarding what water uses are considered unauthorized, and consider tracking a small sample of one such occurrence (ex: unauthorized fire hydrant openings).		reasonable quantification of all such use. This is particularly appropriate for water utilities who are in the early stages of the water auditing process.	To improve to 3: Finalize policy updates to clearly identify the types of water consumption that are authorized from those usages that fall outside of this policy and are, therefore, unauthorized. Begin to conduct regular field checks. Proceed if the top- down audit already exists and/or a great volume of such use is suspected.	appropriate penalties are prescribed. Create written procedures for detection and documentation of various occurrences of unauthorized consumption as they are uncovered.		To improve to 5: Refine written procedures and assign staff to seek out likely occurrences of unauthorized consumption. Explore new locking devices, monitors and other technologies designed to detect and thwart unauthorized consumption.		To maintain a 5: Continue to refine policy and procedures to eliminate any loopholes that allow or tacitly encourage unauthorized consumption. Continue to be vigilant in detection, documentation and enforcement efforts.	
REAL LOSSES	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 28 Reported breaks and leaks	Current condition: Arbitrary estimates of reported breaks and leaks repaired. Repairs of reported breaks and leaks not documented.	Current condition: Reported breaks and leaks estimated by repair crew is suspect. No written procedures exist for estimating or documenting breaks and leaks.	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Reported breaks and leaks are estimated by repair crew. Written procedures exist for estimating or documenting breaks and leaks.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Breaks and leaks reported by customers and city staff fixed <75% of time. Call-to-repair times known, but are greater than one week average. Good records of breaks and leaks exist.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Breaks and leaks reported by customers and city staff fixed >75% of time. Call-to-repair times average less than one week. Computerized maintenance management system is used to document leak repair trends.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Breaks and leaks reported by customers and city staff fixed >90% of time. Call-to-repair times average less than three days. Outstanding computer maintenance records track system deficiencies and repair crew performance.	
Improvements in quantifying reported breaks and leaks	To improve to 1: Document reported breaks and leaks. Use leak rates calculation to estimate volume lost from reported breaks and leaks.	To improve to 2: Develop standards to find, repair, and document leaks and breaks. Continue to use of leak rates calculation to estimate volume lost from reported breaks and leaks.		To improve to 3: Standardize recordkeeping of leak incidents, location, response time, and other repair data.		To improve to 4: Continue to standardize recordkeeping process. Begin planning a computerized maintenance management system. Reduce average leak run time to less than one week.		To improve to 5: Implement computerized maintenance management system to document repairs. Reduce average leak run time to less than two days. Begin planning a proactive leak detection program.		To maintain a 5: Use capabilities of computerized maintenance management system to track failure trends in distribution system and repair crew activity costs. Conduct a proactive leak detection program.	Not a choice

Component	Assessment Scale Table Adapted from American Water Works Association Free Water Audit Software©										
REAL LOSSES	0.5	1	1.5	Adapted fr	om American Water \ 2.5	Norks Association Free Wa	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 29 Unreported losses	Current condition: Utility does not conduct any leak detection using leak detection equipment. Leak detection only includes visible leak detection (reported breaks and leaks).	Current condition: Limited leak detection using basic sounding performed for a portion	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Proactive leak detection using basic sounding. Simple leak detection records exist.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Proactive leak detection using basic sounding and correlation. Detailed leak detection records exist. Utility has one or more District Metered Areas.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Proactive leak detection using basic sounding, correlation, and flow monitoring. Detailed leak detection and asset condition records exist. A detailed real loss component analysis has been conducted.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Fully integrated flow monitoring and leak detection program with continuous reporting and analysis of system leakage. Utility has integrated their leak detection program with their asset management and GIS databases. An economic level of leakage assessment has been conducted.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying unreported losses	To improve to 1: Incorporate leak detection using basic sounding equipment.	To improve to 2: Plan proactive leak detection. Set a structured leak survey schedule. Keep records of leak detection program.		To improve to 3: Upgrade leak detection capabilities using electronic correlation. Improve the detail of records. Evaluate the feasibility of continuous flow monitoring in one or more District Metered Areas.		To improve to 4: Improve leak detection and flow monitoring capabilities. Improve records by including an analysis of asset conditions. Conduct a real loss component analysis.		To improve to 5: Fully integrate flow monitoring and leak detection and continuously report and analyze leakage data. Integrate leak detection with asset management and GIS databases. Conduct an economic level of leakage assessment.		To maintain a 5: Continue to standardize and audit on a regular basis.	Not a choice
COST DATA	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 40 Customer retail price of water (applied to apparent losses)	Current condition: Antiquated, cumbersome water rate structure is used, with periodic historic amendments that were poorly documented and implemented; resulting in classes of customers being billed inconsistent charges. The actual composite billing rate likely differs significantly from the published water rate structure, but a lack of auditing leaves the degree of error indeterminate.	Current condition: Dated, cumbersome water rate structure, not always employed consistently in actual billing operations. The actual composite billing rate is known to differ from the published water rate structure, and a reasonably accurate estimate of the degree of error is determined, allowing a composite billing rate to be quantified.	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Straight-forward water rate structure in use, but not updated in several years. Billing operations reliably employ the rate structure. The composite billing rate is derived from a single customer class such as residential customer accounts, neglecting the effect of different rates from varying customer classes.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Clearly written, up-to- date water rate structure is in force and is applied reliably in billing operations. Composite customer rate is determined using a weighted average residential rate using volumes of water in each rate block.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Effective water rate structure is in force and is applied reliably in billing operations. Composite customer rate is determined using a weighted average composite consumption rate, which includes residential, commercial, industrial, institutional (CII), and any other distinct customer classes within the water rate structure.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Current, effective water rate structure is in force and applied reliably in billing operations. The rate structure and calculations of composite rate - which includes residential, commercial, industrial, institutional (CII), and other distinct customer classes - are reviewed by a third party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology at least once every five years.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying the retail price of water	To improve to 1: Formalize the process to implement water rates, including a secure documentation procedure. Create a current, formal water rate document and gain approval from all stakeholders.	Review the water rate structure and update/formalize as needed. Assess billing block by residentia		To improve Evaluate volume of wate block by residential users. full rate stru	r used in each usage Multiply volumes by	To improve to 4: Evaluate volume of water used in each usage block by all classifications of users. Multiply volumes by full rate structure.		To improve to 5: Conduct a periodic third-party audit of water used in each usage block by all classifications of users. Multiply volumes by full rate structure.		To maintain a 5: Keep water rate structure current in addressing the water utility's revenue needs. Update the calculation of the customer unit rate as new rate components, customer classes, or other components are modified.	Not a choice

Component COST DATA	Assessment Scale Table Adapted from American Water Works Association Free Water Audit Software©										
	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	N/A
Line 43 Variable production cost (applied to real losses)	Current condition: Incomplete paper records and lack of documentation on primary operating functions (electric power and treatment costs most importantly) makes calculation of variable production costs a pure estimated.	Current condition: Reasonably maintained, but incomplete, paper or electronic accounting provides data to roughly estimate the basic operations costs (pumping power costs and treatment costs) and calculate a unit variable production cost.	Conditions between 1 and 2	Current condition: Electronic, industry- standard cost accounting system in place. Electric power and treatment costs are reliably tracked and allow accurate weighted calculation of unit variable production costs based on these two inputs and water imported purchase costs (if applicable). All costs are audited internally on a periodic basis.	Conditions between 2 and 3	Current condition: Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Pertinent additional costs beyond power, treatment and water imported purchase costs (if applicable) such as liability, residuals management, wear and tear on equipment, impending expansion of supply, are included in the unit variable production cost, as applicable. The data is audited at least annually by utility personnel.	Conditions between 3 and 4	Current condition: Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent primary and secondary variable production and water imported purchase (if applicable) costs tracked. The data is audited at least annually by utility personnel, and at least once every three years by a third-party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology.	Conditions between 4 and 5	Current condition: Either of two conditions can be met to obtain a grading of 10: 1) Third party CPA audit of all pertinent primary and secondary variable production and water imported purchase (if applicable) costs on an annual basis. or: 2) Water supply is entirely purchased as bulk water imported, and the unit purchase cost - including all applicable marginal supply costs - serves as the variable production cost. If all applicable marginal supply costs are not included in this figure, a grade of 10 should not be selected.	Not a choice
Improvements in quantifying the variable production cost	To improve to 1: Gather available records, institute new procedures to regularly collect and audit basic cost data and most important operations functions.	To improve to 2: Implement an electronic cost accounting system, structured according to accounting standards for water utilities.		To improve to 3: Formalize process for regular internal audits of production costs. Assess whether additional costs (liability, residuals management, equipment wear, and impending infrastructure expansion) should be included to calculate a more representative variable production cost.		To improve to 4: Formalize the accounting process to include direct cost components (power, treatment) as well as indirect cost components (liability, residuals management, etc.) Arrange to conduct audits by a knowledgeable third-party at least once every three years.		To improve to 5: Standardize the process to conduct a third-party financial audit by a CPA on an annual basis.		To maintain a 5: Maintain program, stay abreast of expenses subject to erratic cost changes and budget/track costs proactively.	Not a choice